Claims 1-2, 5-7, and 9-10 are pending in the instant application. The following

remarks are believed to be fully responsive to the Office Action.

THE REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112

SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN

Claims 1-2, 5-7, and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as

being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter.

Applicants have amended claims 1-2, 5-7, and 9-10 to expedite prosecution of the

current application as well as to remove any indefiniteness in the claim language.

Additionally, claims 1-2 and 6-7 are deemed vague and indefinite by the Examiner

because it is unclear what exactly the "linker" structure is. Applicants respectfully refer the

Examiner to pages 4-5 of the specification. Applicants set forth on these pages a clear and

concise definition of the term 'linker' and present various examples as well. All other

rejections/objections made by the Examiner have been provided within the claim

amendments above. It is therefore respectfully submitted that the 35 U.S.C. § 112 rejections

of claims 1-2, 5-7, and 9-10 be withdrawn.

Page 10 of 12

Reply to Office action of Jan. 24, 2008

THE REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 101

SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process. Applicants have respectfully amended claim 5 to overcome this rejection by setting forth the steps involved in the process. It is therefore respectfully submitted that the 35 U.S.C. § 101 rejection of claim 5 be withdrawn.

Appl. No. 10/538,904

Amdt. Dated April 22, 2008

Reply to Office action of Jan. 24, 2008

CONCLUSION

In view of the remarks herein, Applicants believe that each ground for rejection or

objection made in the present application has been successfully overcome or obviated, and

that all the pending claims are in condition for allowance. Withdrawal of the Examiner's

rejections and objections, and allowance of the current application and claims 1-2, 5-7, and

9-10 are respectfully requested.

The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned in order to resolve any issues

that might arise and to promote the efficient examination of the current application.

Respectfully submitted,

/Craig Bohlken/

Craig Bohlken

Reg. No. 52,628

GE Healthcare, Inc.

101 Carnegie Center

Princeton, NJ 08540

Phone (609) 514-6530

Page 12 of 12